IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

SUSAN S. DEPAOLA, Chapter 7 Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of Philip L. Goodwyn, and SIMPLE PLEASURES, INC.,

Case Number: 06-00893-WKW-SRW

Plaintiffs,

v.

V RESTAURANTS, INC., an Alabama corporation, and VINCE SAELE, an individual,

Defendants.

Bankruptcy Case No. 05-32325 -Chapter 7, Bankruptcy Adv. Pro. No. 05-03062-WRS

PLAINTIFFS' JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT **FORMS**

The Plaintiffs hereby request the following 40 (Attached) instructions and jury forms be included in the Court's charge to the jury following the conclusion of the trial of this case. Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement, alter, and withdraw the instant requested charges.

Respectfully submitted on this the 12th day of March 2007.

Memory & Day

By: /S/ James L. Day

> James L. Day ASB-1256-A55J

Von G. Memory ASB-8137-071V

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

OF COUNSEL:

/S/ James L. Day James L. Day

Memory & Day P.O. Box 4054 Montgomery, AL 36013 Tel (334) 834-8000 Fax (334) 834-8001 vgmemory@memorylegal.com ilday@memorylegal.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing document on the following, by:
☑ placing same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed
☐ facsimile
☐ hand delivery
☐ delivered in open court
on March 12, 2007.
Daniel G . Hamm, Esq. 560 South McDonough St. Montgomery, Alabama 36104

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 1.

Plea of General Issue - Introduction

The Plaintiffs sue for the conversion of a restaurant business and the property associated with the restaurant business.

The defendants say that the Plaintiffs should not recover.

For the Plai	ntiffs to win, t	hey must re	asonably satisfy you	that they had	d right and title to	
the restaurant busin	ness and the pr	operty used	to operate the restaur	rant. If the P	laintiffs are	
successful in sustai	ning their burd	den, you ma	y give the Plaintiffs t	he dollar val	lue of the	
restaurant business	and the prope	rty associate	ed with the restaurant	business. (A	Authority: Alabam	ıa
Pattern Jury Instruc	ctions, hereafte	er "APJI", 1	2.01)			
	Given		Refused			

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 2.

Breach of Contract - General Definition

A contract i	is an agreemei	nt between t	wo or more persons or p	parties based up	on a valuable
consideration to do	or refrain fro	m doing a pa	articular lawful act. (Au	thority: APJI 10	0.1)
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 3.

Breach of Contract - Elements

The elements	of a valid contr	ract are:		
1)	Agreement be	etween two or n	nore competent parties	;;
2)	lawful object;	;		
3)	valuable cons	ideration;		
4)	assent of the p	parties in the sa	me sense- that is a "me	eeting of the minds'
(Authority: APJI 10.2	2)			
	Given		Refused	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 4.

Contract

Acceptance-Definition

An acceptance of an offer occurs when the one to whom the offer is made unconditionally consents to it and agrees to be bound by all of its terms and conditions.

When one	e to whom ar	offer is m	nade accepts it according	g to its terms and	d conditions		
it becomes a binding contract between the one making the offer and the one to whom it was							
made, and both parties are bound by its terms and conditions (Authority: APJI 10.05)							
	Given		Refused				

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 5.

Contract

Mutual Assent-Definition

Mutual asse	ent means the	meeting of	the minds of the parties	s to a contrac	t That is each	
agrees to all the te	rms and condi	tions, in the	e same sense and with the	e same meanii	ng. Agreement	
or mutual assent is essential to a contract. (Authority: APJI 10.06)						
	Given		Refused			

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 6.

Contract

Intent-Determination

The intent of the parties to a contract is determined by considering the relationship of the parties, what they said and what they did and all the surrounding circumstances. You will consider the nature of the contract, facts and circumstances leading up to and attending its execution, relation and condition of the parties, nature and condition of the subject matter and apparent purpose of making the contract. From a careful consideration of all of these matters you will determine from the evidence the intention of the parties at the time of the execution of the contract and render a verdict based upon the intention of the parties. You will not attempt to alter the expressed intention of the parties if they are clear and unambiguous. A person's secret intent has no bearing; only the intent indicated by his words and acts may be considered. (Authority: APJI 10.07)

Given	Refused	П

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 7.

Contract

Action For Breach-Elements

The plaintiff in this action sues the defendant for breach of. contract. The elements of an

action for	breach of contract	are:					
1.	1. Existence of a contract between plaintiff and defendant.						
2.	Performance by the	he plaintif	ff.				
3.	3. Defendant's failure to perform.						
4.	Resulting damage	to the pla	intiff.				
(Authorit	у: АРЛ 10.12)						
	Given		Refused				

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 8.

Contract

Damages-General Rule

Dar	mages for the l	oreach of a	contract is that sum which	h would place the	e injured party in the
same condi	tion he would h	nave occupi	ed if the contract had r	not been breach	ed. (Authority: APJI
10.17)					
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 9.

Contract

Interest On Damages For Breach

If you	u are reasonabl	y satisfied	from the evidence that the	plaintiff is entitled to recover
and you ha	ve arrived at	the amou	ant of your award you sh	ould then determine from the
evidence the	date the plain	tiff was ent	titled to the damages arrive	ed at by you and then add
interest the	ereto at the ra	te of 6% p	per annum from the date	you find the plaintiff was entitled
to have rec	eived the dar	nages to	the present date of	
(Authority: A	АРЛ 10.18)			
	Given		Refused	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 10.

Contract

Substantial Performance-Definition

Su	bstantial perfor	mance of a	contract is performance	of all its importar	nt parts, but does not
require a	full or exact p	erformance	of every slight or unimp	oortant detail. (A	uthority: APJI 10.21)
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 11.

Contract

Substantial Performance-Damages

A	party may reco	over the cont	ract price, less the rea	sonable costs of re	emedying any trivial
defects or o	omissions if he l	nas substantial	lly performed such cont	ract. (Authority: A	.РЛ 10.22)
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 12.

Conversion - General Definition

Conversion is:

1.	The appropriation of the personal property of one by another to his or its own use and
benefit; or.	·

- 2. The exercise of dominion by another over personal property to the exclusion or in defiance of the owner's right; or,
- 3. Withholding the possession of personal property of the owner by another under claim of title inconsistent with the owner's title. (Authority: APJI 39.00) Given Refused

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 13.

Conversion - Evidentiary Proof Required

Proper subn	nission of a co	nversion count	to a jury requires a	α plaintiff to produce ϵ	vidence				
from which reasona	from which reasonable jurors could infer "a wrongful taking or a wrongful detention or								
nterference, or an illegal assumption of ownership, or an illegal use or misuse" of PLAINTIFFS'									
property or rights in	property or rights in property. Authority: Ott v. Fox, Ala., 362 So.2d 836, 839 (1978)								
	Given		Refused						

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 14.

Unjust Enrichment - General Definition

The essence of	of the theory of	unjust enrichm	ent is that the I	Plaintiff can prove facts
showing the defendar	nt holds propert	ty which, in equ	uity and good c	onscience, belongs to the
plaintiff or holds mor	ney which was	improperly paid	d because of m	stake or fraud. Authority:
Dickenson v. Cosmos	s Broadcasting	Company, Inc.	, 782 So.2d 260), 266 (Ala. 2000)
	Given		Refused	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 15.

Unjust Enrichment - Evidentiary Proof Required

To prevail on a claim of unjust enrichment, the plaintiff must show that the defendant
holds property which, in equity and good conscience, belongs to the plaintiff or holds money
which was improperly paid to the defendant because of mistake or fraud. One is unjustly
enriched if his retention of a benefit would be unjust. Authority: <u>Avis Rent A Car Systems, Inc.</u>
v. Heihnan, 876 So.2d 1111, 1122 - 1123 (Ala. 2003); Welch v. Montgomery Eye Physicians,
P.C., 891 So.2d 837, 843 (Ala. 2004).
Given □ Refused □

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 16.

Use and Damages for Use

If the chattel has been injured, he is entitled to compensation for such injury; and as he
has been deprived of the use and service of the chattel, his damages should be commensurate
with the value of the use or service, otherwise this action would be inadequate or incapable of
doing complete justice. The hire or value of the service of the chattel must, in such cases, be one
of the criteria by which the damages are to be ascertained. Authority: Ewing v. Blount, 20 Ala.
694, 1852 WL 397, Ala., June Term 1852.
Given □ Refused □

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 17.

Fraud

Introduction

Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury, the plaintiff in this case is claiming damages from the de-	
$fendant \ (s) \ for \ an \ alleged \ legal \ fraud \ practiced \ upon \ (him) \ (her) \ by \ the \ defendant \ (s). \ The \ .$	
fraud charged in the PLAINTIFFS' complaint is [here refer to the charge(s) in the complaint].	
The defendant(s) for answer to the complaint say(s) (he) (they) (it) (is) (are) not guilty	o o i
the charge contained therein. (Authority: APJI 18.00)	
Given □ Refused □	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 18.

Fraud

Willful Misrepresentation

If y	ou are reasona	bly satisfied	from the evidence	that defendan	t willfully misre	presented
a material	fact to the pl	aintiff with	the intent to indu	ice plaintiff to	act thereon and	l plaintiff
did, withou	ıt knowledge o	of its falsity,	act upon said willf	ul misreprese	ntation to his inj	ury, then
defendant	is guilty of le	gal fraud. (A	Authority: APJI 18.	01)		
	Given		Refused			

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 19.

Fraud

Reckless Misrepresentation

If	you are reasonal	bly satisfi	ed from the eviden	ice that the	defendant mis	srepresented	a
material f	fact recklessly v	vithout k	nowledge of the tr	ruth or fals	ity thereof	and with th	ne
intent to i	induce plaintiff to	act and th	at plaintiff acted upo	on said reckl	ess misrepres	entation to h	iis
injury, the	en defendant is g	uilty of le	gal fraud.(Authority	: APJI 18.02	2)		
	Given		Refused				

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 20.

Fraud

Mistaken Misrepresentation

If	you are reas	sonably satisfi	ed from the	evidence	that the	defendant	innocently	y O1
by mistal	ke misreprese	ented a materia	l fact to the	plaintiff,	thereby	inducing	action by	the
plaintiff t	o his injury	then the defe	ndant would	be guilty	of lega	l fraud. (A	uthority: A	۱PJ
18.03)								
	Given		Refuse	d □				

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 21.

N	eg	li	σι	'n	ce
Τ.4	Uξ	ш	۲ı		u

\mathbf{T}	Co.	• . •	
	efir	11 T I	An
v		1111	UL

			Den	nition						
Negl	igence is	the failure	to discharge	or perform	a legal	duty	owed	to	the	other
party. (Auth	ority: APJ	Л 28.00)								
	Given		Re	fused [_					

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 22.

Negligence

Negligence And Ordinary Care

Negligence means the failure to exercise (reasonable) (ordinary) care; that is, such care as a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under the same or similar circumstances.

Therefore, "r	negligence" is the	failure to do	what a reasonal	oly prudent pers	son would
have done under the	e same or similar	circumstances	, or, the doing	g of something	which a
reasonably prudent p	person would not	have done und	der the same of	or similar circu	mstances.
(Authority: APJI 28.0	1)				
Given	П	Refused	П		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 23.

Negligence

Duty Owed-Negligence And Ordinary Care

The o	duty owed by t	he defendar	nt to the plaintiff was	to exercise re	easonable care n	ot to injure
or damage tl	he plaintiff; th	at is, to exe	ercise such care as a	reasonably p	rudent person v	would have
exercised un	der the same or	r similar circ	cumstances. (Authori	ity: APJI 28.0	2)	
	Given		Refused			

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 24.

Negligence

Findings-One Plaintiff, One Defendant

If y	ou are reasonal	oly satisfied	from the evidence th	hat the defendant was negligent and	d that
the defenda	ant's negligence	proximately	caused the alleged	(injury) (damage), then your verdi	ct
must be for	the plaintiff; h	owever, if yo	ou find that the defe	endant was not negligent or if you f	ind
that the def	endant's neglig	ence was no	t the proximate caus	se of the PLAINTIFFS' (injury)	
(damage), t	then your verdi	ct must be fo	or the defendant. (Au	uthority: APJI 28.03)	
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 25.

Wantonness- Definition

Wantonness is the conscious doing of some act or omission of some duty under knowledge of existing conditions and conscious that from the doing of such act or omission of such duty an injury will likely or probably result. Before a party can be said to be guilty of wanton conduct it must be shown that with reckless indifference to the consequences he either consciously and intentionally did some wrongful act or consciously omitted some known duty which produced the injury. (Authority: APJI 29.00)

Cirron		Dafuard	
Given	Ш	Refused	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 26.

Willfulness- Definition

"Willfully n	neans intentio	nally, knowi	ngly, and purposefu	ally". There	efore, willfulness is
the conscious doing	g of some act	or omission o	of some duty under	knowledge	e of existing
conditions coupled	with a design	or purpose t	o inflict injury. (Au	thority: Al	PJI 29.03)
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 27.

Civil Conspiracy - General Definition

A civil conspiracy is a combination of two or more persons to accomplish by concert an unlawful purpose or to accomplish a purpose not in itself unlawful by unlawful means.

The essence of the action in civil conspiracy is the wrong committed rather than the					
conspiracy itself. (A	uthority: APJI	43.00)			
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 28.

Civil Conspiracy - Evidentiary Proof Required

Because	of the clandestin	e nature of a	conspiracy, proof t	hat a conspiracy existed may b	e
proved by infere	ence and circums	tantial evide	nce confirming the	character of the acts done, the	
relation of the pa	arties, and other	facts and circ	cumstances suggesti	ve of a concerted action.	
(Authority: APJ	I 43.01)				
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 29.

Civil Conspiracy - Profit of Each Defendant Need Not Be Shown

Any deten	idant who partio	cipates in a c	ivil conspiracy is li	able for the	e damages sustained
by the plaintiff as	a result of the	conspiracy, r	regardless of whether	er the defe	ndant profited from
the conspiracy. (A	Authority: APJI	43.02)			
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 30.

Document 32-2

Damages

Introduction

Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury, the Court will now instruct you on the law of damages. The burden is on the Plaintiffs to reasonably satisfy you from the evidence of the truthfulness of its claim. If after a consideration of all the evidence in this case, you are not reasonably satisfied of the truthfulness of Plaintiffs' claim, your verdict should be for the Defendant(s). In this event you would go no further. This would end your deliberations. On the other hand, if after a consideration of all the evidence in the case you are reasonably satisfied of the truthfulness of the Plaintiffs' claim, your verdict should be for the plaintiff. In this event it will be necessary for you to arrive at an amount to be awarded in the verdict from which I will read to you and describe later in my charge. I now give you the following rules of law to assist you in your deliberations if you find for the Plaintiff. The Plaintiffs make two statements of claim. One statement is for compensatory damages and he other is for punitive damages. (Authority: APJI 11.00) Given Refused

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 31.

Damages

General

Compensatory or actual damages are allowed and should be awarded where the plaintiff reasonably satisfies the jury from the evidence that plaintiff has been injured or damaged as the result of an act on the part of the defendant.

Punitive or exemplary damages are allowed to the plaintiff and may be awarded in the sound discretion of the jury in cases where the plaintiff proves by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant consciously or deliberately engaged in oppression, fraud, wantonness, or malice with regard to the plaintiff. (Authority: APJI 11.01, modified) Given Refused

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 32.

Compensatory

The 1	purpose of aw	arding com	pensatory damages is	s to fairly and re	asonably compensate
the injured p	earty for the lo	ss or injury	sustained. Compens	atory damages a	re intended as money
compensatio	on to the party	wronged, to	o compensate it for it	ts injury and oth	er damages which have
been inflicte	d upon it as a	proximate 1	result of the wrong co	omplained of. (A	Authority: APJI 11.02)
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 33.

Other Than Personal Injury - Elements

The plaintiffs claim compensation for the following items or elements of damages:

- 1. That the Defendants failed or refused to pay the \$90,000.00 contract price.
- 2. The Defendants retained possession and use of the Plaintiffs' restaurant and the property associated with the restaurant to include a customer base, experienced and trained staff, good will, recipes, signage, telephone number, licenses and permits, and direct assistance with the transition of all existing employees to the new owners.
- 3. Tables, booths, chairs, stools, salad bar, steaming tables, a buffet, bar, serving pieces, dishes, glassware, silverware, leasehold improvements, cash registers, and other amenities associated with the restaurant area.
- 4. Refrigerators, freezers, coolers, storage bins, stoves, deep fryers, food preparation tables and bars, pots, pans, utensils, serving pieces, dishes, silverware, small appliances, sink, dishwasher, exhaust fan, extinguishing system, and other items associated with food preparation.
- 5. Office equipment, computers, calculators, desk, filing cabinet, copier, facsimile, time clock, and telephones.
- 6. Food items, spices and condiments, liquor, mixers, soft drink equipment, and other beverage machines and items.
- 7. Non perishable items such as paper towels, napkins, toilet paper, soaps detergents, and cleaners.

Authority	: APJI 11.12, n	nodified)		
	Given		Refused	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 34.

Measure of Compensatory Damages for Conversion

The measure of compensatory damages for the conversion of personal property is the value of the property as of the date of the conversion; or the value of the property at any time between the date of the conversion and the trial, whichever is greater, with interest at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from the date of the conversion.

If y	ou are reasonal	oly satisfied	that the plaintiff ha	s proven the defer	ndant converted the
plaintiffs p	roperty, the pla	intiff will fu	rther have to prove	to your reasonabl	e satisfaction the
amount of	compensatory of	damages. (At	uthority: APJI 39.0	1)	
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 35.

Contract Damages – General Rule

Dam	ages for breach	n of a contract i	s that sum which	ch would place the injured party in the
same conditi	on he would h	ave occupied if	f the contract ha	ad not been breached. (Authority: APJI
10.17)				
	Given		Refused	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 36.

Damages - Punitive

Punitive damages are allowed to the plaintiff and may be awarded in the sound discretion of the jury in cases where the plaintiff proves by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant consciously or deliberately engaged in legal malice, willfulness, insult, or other aggravating circumstances, or where conversion is done in known violation of the PLAINTIFFS' right.

Clear and convincing evidence is evidence that, when weighed against evidence in opposition, will produce in the mind of the trier of fact a firm conviction as to each essential element of the claim and the high probability as to the correctness of the conclusion. Proof by clean and convincing evidence requires a level of proof greater than the preponderance of the evidence or the substantial weight of the evidence, but less than beyond a reasonable doubt. (Authority: APJI 39.02)

Given Refused

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 37.

Speculative

In av	warding dama	ges in any c	ease your verdict mus	t not be base	d on mere speculation or
conjecture but must be based upon the evidence and the just and reasonable inferences shown					
thereby. (Authority: APJI 11.22)					
	Given		Refused		

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 38.

Assessment of Alternate

Value on Verdict for Plaintiff

Suit for Articles In Possession Of Defendants

-	First, you must dec	cide if the Pl	aintiffs have reason	nably satisfied yo	u that they have the
right to	the property in this	s action. If the	he Plaintiffs prove	this, you should s	et the dollar value of
the resta	aurant and the prop	erty associa	ted with the restaur	ant if the Plaintif	fs cannot get these
items ba	ack. (Authority: Al	PJI 12.02)			
	Given	П	Refused	П	

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 39.

Introduction - Forms of Verdict

Ladies and gentlemen of the Jury, for your convenience, the court has prepared for your
use in this case forms of verdict which will be explained to you. No inferences are to be drawn
by you from the fact that the court has supplied you with these forms, or from the order in which
the court reads them to you. When you have reached a verdict you will select and complete the
form which corresponds to your verdict and which is to be signed by your foreman. All twelve of
you must agree on any verdict which you return to the court. (Authority: APJI 37.00)
Given □ Refused □

PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTED JURY CHARGE NO. 40.

Multiple Defendants, Multiple Claims, Indivisible Damages

PLAINTIFFS' Verdict A.

If, after a full and fair consideration of all the evidence, you find for Plaintiffs on some or all of the claims, then you should use the following verdict form:

Claim 1: Breach of Contract.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 2: Conversion.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 3: Unjust Enrichment.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 4: Damages for Use.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following

defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 5: Fraud.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 6: Negligence.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 7: Wantonness.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 8: Willfulness.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:

- 1. V Restaurants
- 2. Vince Saele

Claim 9: Conspiracy.

"We, the jury, find in favor of the Plaintiffs on this claim and against the following
defendants: circle the name of each defendant against whom you find:
1. V Restaurants
2 Vince Saele

"We, the jury, having found in favor of the Plaintiffs on one or m	nore of the above
claim(s) assess Plaintiffs compensatory damages at	dollars
(\$).	
"We, the jury, having found in favor of Plaintiffs on claim(s) nun	nbered
assess Plaintiffs' punitive damages at	
dollars (\$).	
Fore	person"

A. **Defendants' Verdict**

If, after full and fair consideration of all the evidence you find for the Defendants on all claims, then you should use the following verdict form:

"We, the jury, find in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff on all claims.

	Foreperson"	
(Authority: APJI 37.05(B))		

Given Refused